

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

		,		<u> </u>
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/238,40	05/05/94	CAPON	Þ	CELL5.3

HM12/0630 023820 ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, LLP 1300 19TH STREET, NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20036-2680

EXAMINER				
HAYES,R				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1647	38			
DATE MAILED:	06730700			

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

	THE	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE	Ē:					
a)		is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the f	inal rejection			
b)			the date of the final rejection or as of the maili utory period for the response expire later than					
		The date on which the resp purposes of determining the	be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR onse, the petition, and the fee have been filed a period of extension and the corresponding at the date of the originally set shortened statuted.	d is the date of the response mount of the fee. Any extens	and also the date for the sion fee pursuant to 37 CFR			
X	Арр	pellant's Brief is due in acco	rdance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Ø	App to p	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12/13/99 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:						
1.	X	The proposed amendments	to the claim and /or specification will not be en	ntered and the final rejection	stands because:			
		a. There is no convincin presented.	g showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the pro	posed amendment is necess	sary and was not earlier			
		b. K They raise new issue	s that would require further consideration and/	or search. (See Note).				
		c. X They raise the issue	of new matter. (See Note).					
		d. They are not deeme appeal.	d to place the application in better form for app	peal by materially reducing or	r simplifying the issues for			
		_	nal claims without cancelling a corresponding tation of claim 57, "in the absence of chimenic protein) names new matter is a specification attennatively surface."	- 10 1	ins. (as it relates to a cytoplasm quisinaw considerations secutation since sence of TCR expression			
2.		Newly proposed or amendo the non-allowable claims.	ed claims would be allowed	ed if submitted in a separately	y filed amendment cancelling			
3.	X	Upon the filing an appeal, to be as follows:	ne proposed amendment will be entered	will not be entered and the	ne status of the claims will			
		Claims allowed: NonE	15	_				
		Claims objected to: _57. 6	1,67 69.71					
		However;		Α.				
4.		Chims 64157. The p The affidavit, exhibit or red	as overcome the following rejection(s): The landy obvioling all resistions to church appeal and to drain of would obvious for reconsideration has been considered to both demonstrate examples of	Firm Died, her overcom in 65 exapt in 1ts d out the reliction under out does not degreeme the re Signallingtamment	who d.p. resittors of damis lopendage on resited base (112 mlp. 1 tolk emerco. jection because They as proviculy make office			
		Thus, Applicant's	arguments remain NET persu		ms made of reland.			
5. [The affidavit or exhibit will n presented.	ot be considered because applicant has not sh	nown good and sufficent reas	ons why it was not earlier			
]	The	proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved by the	e examiner.	fate a Den			
XI (Othe	er. The IDS (prysh #33)). PTO 1449 is enclosed.		PATRICIA A. DUFFY			
ا ر	3	Lyen, Pub	·		PRIMARY EXAMINER			
.Oſ	L-303	رنتي (REV. 5-89)						